

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMI United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/642,596	08/19/2003	Choo Yuen May	3587-0110P	2776	
2292	7590 06/19/2	06	EXAM	EXAMINER	
BIRCH STE	WART KOLASC	QAZI, SAB	QAZI, SABIHA NAIM		
	RCH, VA 22040-0	747	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		1616		

DATE MAILED: 06/19/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/642,596	MAY ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Sabiha Qazi	1616	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence ad	dress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some year of the provision of the p	G DATE OF THIS COMMUN FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a n. eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO statute, cause the application to become A	ICATION. I reply be timely filed INTHS from the mailing date of this ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 3 2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ 3)□ Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice und	This action is non-final. owance except for formal ma		e merits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the applica 4a) Of the above claim(s) 13 is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction as	wn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exar 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected to the drawing(s) be held in abeya prrection is required if the drawing	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CF	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for force a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. nents have been received in a priority documents have been preau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National	Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)	
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTC 	D-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/642,596 Page 2

Art Unit: 1616

Non-Final Ofice Action

Acknowledgement is made of the response filed on 3/15/06. Amendments are entered. Claims 1-13 are pending. Claim 13 is withdrawn from consideration as non-elected invention. New rejection is being made, as some references are found relevant in the new IDS filed after the first action.

Response to Remarks

- Since claims are amended rejection under 112 (2) is withdrawn. Arguments regarding the art rejections
 were fully considered but are not found persuasive therefore, all the rejection is maintained for the same
 reasons as set forth in our previous office action.
- The basis of the arguments regarding the restriction requirement is that the search for claim 13 will not be a
 burden on the Examiner. Examiner respectfully disagrees because compounds are not the same as their
 process of making or isolating. The reference use to reject the process may not be used for the rejection of
 compounds.
- The process of making the same compound may be different i.e. one compound can be prepared by
 different processes. The search for a particular compound in specific form will not be the same therefore; it
 would be a burden on the Examiner to search both the inventions as claimed.
- Examiner notes that Applicants are arguing about the references individually. It had been established by
 Courts that "One cannot show nonobviousness by <u>attacking references individually</u> where the rejections
 are based on combinations of references." In re Keller, 642 F.2d 413, 208 SPQ 871 (CCPA 1981); In re
 Merck & Co., Inc., 800 F.2d 1091, 231 USPQ 375 (Fed. Cir. 1986). See MPEP 2145.
- No criticality of the invention is disclosed, no improvement if any from the prior art was found. Presently claimed invention is considered *prima facie* obvious over the prior art of record at the time of invention.
- For the reasons cited above and in our previous action rejection over US 2,598,269, US 6,838,104 and US 6,057462 is maintained.

Information Disclosure Statement

The listing of references in the specification is not a proper information disclosure statement. 37 CFR 1.98(b) requires a list of all patents, publications, or other information submitted for consideration by the Office, and MPEP § 609.04(a) states, "the list may not be incorporated into the specification but must be submitted

in a separate paper." Therefore, unless the references have been cited by the examiner on form PTO-892, they have not been considered.

Copending Applications

Applicants must bring to the attention of the examiner, or other Office official involved with the examination of a particular application, information within their knowledge as to other copending United States applications, which are "material to patentability" of the application in question. MPEP 2001.06(b). See Dayco Products Inc. v. Total Containment Inc., 66 USPQ2d 1801 (CA FC 2003).

Conclusion

1. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Communication

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sabiha Qazi, Ph.D. whose telephone number is 571-272-0622. The examiner can normally be reached on any business day.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's acting supervisor, Johann Richter, Ph.D. can be reached on 571-272-0646. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/642,596 Page 4

Art Unit: 1616

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SABIHA QAZI, PH.D PRIMARY EXAMINER